

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,689	02	2/07/2001	Ji Hyun Hwang	MRE-08	3330	
	7590	12/09/2002			•	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP EXAMINER				NER		
P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200				JONES, J	UDSON	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, "	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,
Advisory Action	09/777,689	HWANG ET AL.	
7. 	Examiner	Art Unit	70
	Judson H Jones	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exthe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecutions	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>19-22,26 and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 7-18,23-25 and 28.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		NESTOR RAM	IRE7

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while Bestering et al. in view of Holden does not disclose a gantry, claims 7 and 17 recite "a cooling system for a gantry having a linear motor" and " for a gantry" is viewed as a statement of intended use, not a claim limitation. While claim 23 is worded slightly differently, using a linear motor in a gantry is still viewed as a statement of intended use.